

Response to Reviewers for Manuscript:

“Your Awesome Paper Title”

Your Name(s)

October 15, 2025

To: The Editor of *Journal Name*

Manuscript ID: [Your Manuscript ID]

Dear Dr. [Editor's Last Name],

Thank you for the opportunity to revise our manuscript. We also thank the reviewers for their insightful and constructive comments. We believe their feedback has helped us significantly improve the quality of our paper.

We have addressed all the comments raised by the reviewers in the point-by-point response below. We have also highlighted the changes in a revised version of the manuscript, as requested.

We hope that the revised manuscript is now suitable for publication in *Journal Name*.

Sincerely,

Your Name

Your Affiliation

Your Email

Response to Reviewer 1

Comment 1

“The reviewer’s first comment goes here... For example: The introduction lacks a clear motivation for the study.”

Our Response:

We thank the reviewer for this valuable suggestion. We agree that the motivation could be stated more clearly. Accordingly, we have revised the first paragraph of the introduction to better contextualize the problem and highlight the importance of our work.

Change in Manuscript:

The revised text can be found on page 1, lines 15-25. We have added the following sentences: “[Quote the key sentences you added here].”

Comment 2

“The derivation of Equation (3) is unclear. Please provide more steps.”

Our Response:

We apologize for the lack of clarity. We have expanded the derivation in Appendix A to provide a more detailed, step-by-step explanation for Equation (3).

Change in Manuscript:

We have added a new section, Appendix A, on page 12, which contains the full derivation.

Response to Reviewer 2

Comment 1

“Reviewer 2’s first comment...”

Our Response:

Your response here...

Change in Manuscript:

Location of change...

Comment on an Equation

“Your proposed equation for X seems incorrect.”

Our Response:

We thank the reviewer for spotting this. There was indeed a typo in the equation. The corrected equation is:

$$E = mc^2$$

This has been corrected in the manuscript in Equation (5) on page 6.